

# Wicketkeeping Match Scenario Planner - Plan a Match Scenario

Wicketkeeping | Plan a Match Scenario | Asset ID CRIC-16-06-08

**Purpose:** This original printable match scenario planner helps players, coaches, clubs, schools and families work with wicketkeeping while pursuing one defined outcome: turn scoreboard and resources into a bounded tactical plan. Its method is to define score and field, run the scenario and debrief the decision. Use the pages as a working record, not as a substitute for current competition rules, safe facilities, suitable equipment and qualified coaching or health guidance where those are needed.

## Resource Map

The subject focus is to combine safe stance, clean gathering and footwork for differing lines and lengths. The six working areas below define the scope for this specific resource. Complete only the rows that matter to the present practice block, and date any observation that may change.

| # | Working area     | Current evidence                | Next check |
|---|------------------|---------------------------------|------------|
| 1 | Starting Depth   | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 2 | Ready Position   | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 3 | Head And Hands   | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 4 | Lateral Movement | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 5 | Take Quality     | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |
| 6 | Return Throw     | Known / estimated / not checked | _____      |

## Scenario and Facilitation Starting Brief

- Frame: Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case? Frame a bounded scenario with a clear success measure.
- Assign: How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw? Assign roles, resources and stop conditions.
- Run: What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position? Run the scenario without adding hidden rules.
- Capture: Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? Capture decisions, timing and exceptions.
- Debrief: What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands? Debrief actions with evidence and balanced participation.
- Edition success means: run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment

## Match Scenario Planner

This format is designed to rehearse a bounded situation with roles, rules and a success measure. For wicketkeeping, apply the method in a deliberate sequence: define score and field, run the scenario and debrief the decision. The edition target is plan a match scenario, so each entry should show what was noticed, what was tried and what changed.

| Working step            | What to record                                                                          | Evidence or source    | Status         |
|-------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Frame Head And Hands    | Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case?             | Scenario brief / date | Open / checked |
| Assign Lateral Movement | How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw?                        | Role map / date       | Open / checked |
| Run Take Quality        | What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position?       | Scenario run / date   | Open / checked |
| Capture Return Throw    | Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? | Event record / date   | Open / checked |
| Debrief Starting Depth  | What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands?    | Debrief / date        | Open / checked |
| Transfer Ready Position | What next check on ready position would make the record about take quality more useful? | Transfer plan / date  | Open / checked |

### Completion Standard

- Keep the work tied to wicketkeeping, not to a vague general intention.
- Apply this adjustment: define the situation, roles, field and success measure
- Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?
- Expose this outcome risk if it appears: the debrief becomes blame.
- Use original notes and explanations; quote only when necessary, lawful and properly attributed.
- Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional to challenge one material assumption where appropriate.
- Close with one decision and one follow-up rather than an unranked list of ideas.

### Working Note

Edition CRIC-16-06-08 pairs match scenario planner with the outcome 'Plan a Match Scenario'. That pairing is the boundary for this workbook: material that does not help that outcome belongs in a separate record.

## Strategy x Scenario and Facilitation Focused Practice Lab

This lab pairs a strategy method with a scenario and facilitation outcome lens. Work through the six actions to turn the subject focus - combine safe stance, clean gathering and footwork for differing lines and lengths - into evidence for plan a match scenario. Preserve any gap that prevents a supported conclusion.

| # | Action                            | Constraint                                                                                                                                     | Record                      |
|---|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 1 | Map / Frame head and hands        | Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case? Frame a bounded scenario with a clear success measure.             | Factor map / Scenario brief |
| 2 | Link / Assign lateral movement    | How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw? Assign roles, resources and stop conditions.                                  | Cause path / Role map       |
| 3 | Rank / Run take quality           | What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position? Run the scenario without adding hidden rules.                | Ranking / Scenario run      |
| 4 | Select / Capture return throw     | Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? Capture decisions, timing and exceptions.              | Choice / Event record       |
| 5 | Simulate / Debrief starting depth | What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands? Debrief actions with evidence and balanced participation. | Scenario / Debrief          |
| 6 | Adapt / Transfer ready position   | What next check on ready position would make the record about take quality more useful? Transfer the learning into the next owned action.      | Trigger / Transfer plan     |

### Common Failure Modes

- For head and hands, watch for a key factor was omitted together with the scenario lacks a boundary.
- For lateral movement, watch for two consequences conflict together with roles or stop conditions are unclear.
- For take quality, watch for the top priority changes together with hidden rules appear during the run.
- For return throw, watch for the fallback is unavailable together with only the final result is captured.
- For starting depth, watch for the adverse case occurs together with the debrief becomes blame.

### Independent Review Question

Format question: Which trade-off justifies the chosen strategy over the strongest alternative? Outcome question: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? Ask a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional where appropriate, then answer before the next practice block: \_\_\_\_\_

## Cricket Practice Plan for Plan a Match Scenario

Use one defined practice block to test the outcome rather than attempting every possible task. This edition pairs strategy with scenario and facilitation to pursue this objective: turn scoreboard and resources into a bounded tactical plan. Preserve the starting condition and both evidence trails.

| Plan field               | Entry                                                  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|
| Purpose                  | Plan a Match Scenario                                  |
| Starting condition       | _____                                                  |
| Chosen working area      | _____                                                  |
| Event record             | define the situation, roles, field and success measure |
| Evidence to capture      | _____                                                  |
| Stop or review condition | _____                                                  |

### Thirty Minute Practice Shape

| Stage     | Action                                                                                                                     | Evidence                    |
|-----------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|
| 0-5 min   | Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case? Map the factors that shape the situation.      | Factor map / Scenario brief |
| 5-10 min  | How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw? Link actions to likely consequences.                      | Cause path / Role map       |
| 10-18 min | What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position? Rank priorities and constraints.         | Ranking / Scenario run      |
| 18-24 min | Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? Select a strategy with a fallback. | Choice / Event record       |
| 24-27 min | What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands? Simulate one adverse scenario.        | Scenario / Debrief          |
| 27-30 min | What next check on ready position would make the record about take quality more useful? Set the trigger for adaptation.    | Trigger / Transfer plan     |

### Strategy Checkpoint Log

| Strategy C checkpoint | Condition      | Observation | Decision              |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------------|-----------------------|
| 1                     | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 2                     | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 3                     | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 4                     | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |
| 5                     | Same / changed | _____       | Keep / change / check |

## Strategy and Trade-off Matrix - Scenario and Facilitation Lens

This comparison tests wicketkeeping against plan a match scenario through two independent questions. Format: Which trade-off justifies the chosen strategy over the strongest alternative? Outcome: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? Record material setting differences instead of averaging them away.

| Evidence area    | Baseline | Alternative | Interpretation prompt                                                                   |
|------------------|----------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Starting Depth   | _____    | _____       | Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case?             |
| Ready Position   | _____    | _____       | How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw?                        |
| Head And Hands   | _____    | _____       | What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position?       |
| Lateral Movement | _____    | _____       | Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? |
| Take Quality     | _____    | _____       | What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands?    |
| Return Throw     | _____    | _____       | What next check on ready position would make the record about take quality more useful? |

### Format Decision Test

| Field                          | Record                                                                        |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Review question                | Which trade-off justifies the chosen strategy over the strongest alternative? |
| Outcome question               | Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?         |
| Acceptance lens                | run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment                 |
| Conditions held steady         | _____                                                                         |
| Evidence supporting the choice | _____                                                                         |
| Evidence against the choice    | _____                                                                         |

### Strategy Confidence Check

- Scenario brief: what was actually seen, heard, measured or read?
- Format evidence: which record best demonstrates priorities ranked?
- Outcome evidence: which record best demonstrates debriefs evidenced?
- Challenge: could the scenario lacks a boundary explain the result?
- Subject boundary: what does this comparison leave unresolved about head and hands?

## Strategy x Scenario and Facilitation Scenario Cards

Use these paired scenarios to rehearse judgement for plan a match scenario. Each card joins a strategy failure mode to an outcome-specific risk. State what remains open and which evidence named in the final column should be collected next.

| # | Situation                                       | Review question                                                                         | Decision       |
|---|-------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------|
| 1 | Head And Hands: the top priority changes.       | Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case?             | Scenario brief |
| 2 | Lateral Movement: the fallback is unavailable.  | How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw?                        | Role map       |
| 3 | Take Quality: the adverse case occurs.          | What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position?       | Scenario run   |
| 4 | Return Throw: the adaptation trigger is missed. | Which observation links lateral movement to starting depth, and what remains uncertain? | Event record   |
| 5 | Starting Depth: a key factor was omitted.       | What alternative explanation could account for both return throw and head and hands?    | Debrief        |
| 6 | Ready Position: two consequences conflict.      | What next check on ready position would make the record about take quality more useful? | Transfer plan  |

### Decision Record

| Field                  | Notes                                                                 |
|------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Chosen format scenario | _____                                                                 |
| Outcome lens           | Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? |
| Evidence available     | _____                                                                 |
| Evidence missing       | _____                                                                 |
| Controlled next step   | _____                                                                 |
| Person / review date   | _____                                                                 |

## Eight Strategy and Scenario and Facilitation Evidence Tracker

Track plan a match scenario across eight practice blocks using the strategy method and the scenario and facilitation outcome lens. Keep conditions visible and use this review question: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt?

| # | Focus            | Condition | Result | Next action |
|---|------------------|-----------|--------|-------------|
| 1 | Ready Position   | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 2 | Head And Hands   | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 3 | Lateral Movement | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 4 | Take Quality     | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 5 | Return Throw     | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 6 | Starting Depth   | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 7 | Ready Position   | _____     | _____  | _____       |
| 8 | Head And Hands   | _____     | _____  | _____       |

### Strategy Evidence Dashboard

| Measure                                                | Baseline | Current | Evidence location |
|--------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|-------------------|
| Starting Depth: Factors Mapped / Scenarios Framed      | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Ready Position: Cause Paths Tested / Roles Assigned    | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Head And Hands: Priorities Ranked / Runs Completed     | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Lateral Movement: Fallbacks Prepared / Events Captured | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Take Quality: Scenarios Rehearsed / Debriefs Evidenced | _____    | _____   | _____             |
| Return Throw: Triggers Recorded / Learning Transferred | _____    | _____   | _____             |

### Interpret the Trend

- I kept the scenario rules and success measure visible throughout the run.
- I converted the debrief into a specific action with an owner.
- Keep the method only when the evidence for plan a match scenario improves without hiding the scenario lacks a boundary.
- What boundary on starting depth must be checked before relying on ready position?
- Use a qualified coach, safeguarding lead or health professional when the evidence crosses a safety, rights, health or authority boundary.

## Strategy x Scenario and Facilitation Next Step Plan

Finish by turning the paired format and outcome record into one owned action. For this match scenario planner, the intended result was plan a match scenario; the outcome test is: Which decision from the scenario should change the next real attempt? The close-out standard remains: run and review the scenario with a reason for each adjustment.

| Close-out field      | Record                             |
|----------------------|------------------------------------|
| Most useful evidence | _____                              |
| Important limitation | _____                              |
| Decision             | Keep / adapt / pause / seek review |
| Next action          | _____                              |
| Owner and date       | _____                              |
| Evidence location    | _____                              |

### Completion Review

- Subject check: Which evidence separates head and hands from lateral movement in this case?
- Subject check: How does take quality change the interpretation of return throw?
- Format check: I recorded both the chosen strategy and its strongest trade-off.
- Outcome check: I kept the scenario rules and success measure visible throughout the run.
- I used a progressive warm-up and did not ignore pain, unsafe surfaces, heat or fatigue.
- I kept the drill generic and did not imply affiliation with a player, team, league or governing body.

### Originality and Responsible Use

Original independent resource. This general practice, observation and review aid was written specifically for asset CRIC-16-06-08. It does not reproduce a book passage, manual, official form, commercial question bank, branded training plan, broadcast, photograph, logo, artwork, map, proprietary diagram or third-party worksheet. Any user-added quotation, image, question or source material remains the user's responsibility and may require attribution or permission.

Educational use only. Verify current facts, rules, competition requirements, local regulations, health and safety conditions with authoritative sources and a suitably qualified person where consequences matter. This workbook does not grant approval, certification, legal rights or permission to use third-party material.